

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,270	08/18/2003	Hisatoshi Hirota	030897	2427
38834	7590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
SUITE 700	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		3751	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique O	10/642,270	HIROTA, HISATOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Jan	nuary 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception of the drawing of the drawi	election requirement. oted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer. 37 CFR 1 85(a)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/03.	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:)				

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species I, Fig. 1 in the reply filed on January 14, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the implied language such as "The object of the present invention is to provide" should be avoided in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takano et al. (hereinafter Takano).

Takano discloses a differential pressure control valve (see Figs. 2 and 3) of pilotoperated type for controlling a flow rate of fluid so that a differential pressure between Application/Control Number: 10/642,270

Art Unit: 3751

inlet (10) and outlet (28) sides of the fluid may become equal to a differential pressure set by a value of electric current passed a solenoid thereof, characterized in that a diaphragm (67) is arranged at a sliding portion on an outer periphery of a main valve piston (64) for opening and closing a main valve element (65) of a main valve, to completely prevent the fluid from leaking through the sliding portion. The diaphragm, inherently, comprises a film shaped into annular form, the annular film having an inner peripheral edge portion clamped between the main valve piston and a fixing ring (66) secured to the main valve piston, and an outer peripheral edge portion clamped between a body containing the main valve piston and a cylindrical member (68) which is secured to the body and which slidably receives the main valve piston (see Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takano as evidenced by Hopkins, Jr.

The Takano diaphragm is stretchable in a similar manner as that of the instant invention diaphragm; therefore, would inherently comprise a polyimide film. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Takano diaphragm out of polyimide film as, for example, taught by Hopkins, Jr. (if not already).

Art Unit: 3751

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saeki et al. disclose a differential pressure control valve (see Fig. 8) similar to that of the instant invention except for detail of the diaphragm and the clamping members. Kolze discloses a differential pressure control valve utilizes diaphragm.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine R. Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751